

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,640	11/24/2003	Koji Shigemura	1670.1019	1164
49455 7590 10/31/2007 STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP 1400 EYE STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			WALFORD, NATALIE K	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTO	N DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WIGHINGIO	11, 20 2000		2879	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
lando arrigina Companyona	10/718,640	SHIGEMURA ET AL.				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Natalie K. Walford	2879				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Natalie K. Walford.	(3)					
(2) Randall Svihla.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>04 October 2007</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>Independent claim 1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Mizuguchi</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached g) was not reached h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant's representative and the Examiner discussed how the Prior Art differed from the instant application. Mizuguchi teaches that the mask is compressed, which teaches away from Applicant's invention of the mask being drawn taut. The Examiner agreed to reopen prosecution. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims						
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	·					
·	•					
	•					
		•				
·	M	Nag.				
	Maricu	li Santrago y Examirar				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	<u>Pri mar</u> Examiner's sign	y Examiker nature, if required				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20071004